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June 10, 194\%

Dr. Hugo C. Tolfe, Adminiatrativo Choirmang Federation of Amorican Sciontisis, 1749 L Stroct, N.T.. s Washington 6, D. C.

Dear Dr. Holfe,
I havo had an opportunity to study, and to a liadted oxtant to reflect upon, tho raport of the FAS comilttee on blological warfare, which you brought to my attantion abcut two woeks ago. I was a littio surprised that ny reactions were manted, because I have no special information on tho problen, and my acquaintarceship with it is inatted to a roading of Rosebury \& Kabatis rovian article which appoared tno years ago in tho Journal of Imanology.

To the extent that the roport was based nocezsarily upon publishod matorial, ono anst have considerable roservations conceraing the possibility of technical advances mich might overitie the difficulties now sean in certain epocifio applications of [x: As a gonotiolst, I can seo this possibility most acutely in respost to the devolcpmant of fungus pathogens, especialiy rusts, which might becomo suiteble for military attacks on enamy coreal agriculture. The problea of retroaction is not insurmountable becausa a) it is quite likely that the technique of in vitro cultivation of rusts will soon be perfected, if it has not been already, b) the prodomitiant varieties adapted to olimsilo conditions of different countries shor marked differencse in susceptibility to different races of the pathogen, and c) It should not be difficult to
harvest spores in isolation plots at seasons (or under glass) when their epread can be controlled. The genetics of pathogenicity is better underatcod in the rusts than in any other microbo, and thoproblem of devoloping pathogens which would bo spocifically active against the oneny's agriculture would here most readily be solved. Although adrittedly this type of attack is not dufflciently rellable to be used as a primary weapon, the expoctation cf success should certainly be high oncugh that it would justify the ralatively anall investaent needed.

With regard to EN acainkt man, ths point is rightly mado both here and in the Rosobury-Kabat articls, that retroaction may be tho chief considoration. I havo been inprossed with tho possibility, howover, that in desperation, ono country mignt bo willing to assums the risks ci using highly rotroactive agents (auch as, Por example, proumonic plagie) although such uso would aka nilltary occupation imposolble. However, it seons likely that this kind of sterilization can be effected neariy as efficiently with radioactive poisonc. The use of Eif in this way might theiofore bo expected to originate from countries which have bsen attacked with a preponderance of military powar in the fora of atomic weapons, and for whom Eilmight be the only recourse.

I cannot envisage the possibility of effective intornational control of E.T research. In contrast to atumic dovolopment, bi would requiro a minimu of industilial equipment, so that inepection could not be possible unless every house in every vialige could be searched. To hope to restrict the importstion. of anthropolds zooms no more realistic than an effort to control the agar industry, or the distribution of exbryonated oggs.

Thore is no doubt that there is great noed for public education on the problem of EF. Fortunately, I don't bolisve that the sensational publicity has boen taken vory seriously. Eut it could certainly do a great deal of good to eaphasiza the need for expanded public hosith services, and the fact that a great deal of research is undoubtedly being carried on on defensive
aspecte of BN, and that this work may be of public benofit far beyond its applications to military security.

As far as ondorsement of a publio report is concorned, however, I cannot help but feel that themilitary progran holds the ace. Whatever an outside group might say would necessarily be subject to modification depending on the progress being made in tho Be laboratories. Fould it not be most useful, therefore, to seek an official endoreemant of a roport such as this, or to press for a comparable but authoritative etatoment frum the Secretary of Defense?

Sincorely,

Joshua Laderberg, Assistant Professor of Cenotics.

